

CF1002

(4)

(2)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR**

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 7530/2006

PETITIONER

:

Kamal Narayan Verma

Age about 45 years, Asst. Teacher,

S/o Shri Bharat Lal Verma

Govt. Primary School, Ban Bhibhouri,

Block - Tilda, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 267916
Presented by Shri SK Verma
dated 21/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

:

1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh

Through : Secretary,

School Education Department Ministry,

D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. District Education Officer

Raipur (C.G.)


3. Block Education Officer

Tilda, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
21/12/06
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

Petitioner most humbly submit as under :-



(12)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7385 OF 2006

Petitioner : Hemant Kumar Dewangan
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7475 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhukhan Lal Tiwari
versus
Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7476 OF 2006

Petitioner : Mohan Lal Manikpan
versus
Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7477 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhagirathi Dhanker
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7478 OF 2006

Petitioner : Kalyan Das Sondre
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7479 OF 2006

Petitioner : Anjar Singh Chandaniha
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7480 OF 2006

Petitioner : Inderman Lal Nishad
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and another

(18)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7482 OF 2006

Petitioner : Motilal Sahu
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7483 OF 2006

Petitioner : Rama Shankar Sahu
versus
Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7528 OF 2006

Petitioner : Bhagwat Prasad Sinha
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7529 OF 2006

Petitioner : Narad Lal GangBoir
versus
Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh
and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7530 OF 2006

Petitioner : Kamal Narayan Verma
versus
Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 7531 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Mamta Yadav
versus
Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the
State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 9th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*¹.

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further

20

4

whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Subhash